UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,709	12/02/2004	Matthew P. Nelson	3005-66	6727
8933 7590 12/21/2007 DUANE MORRIS, LLP IP DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			AHMED, MASUD	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·	·	3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,709	NORIAKI OKAMURA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Masud Ahmed	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 O	ctober 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is:					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	:Г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/516,709 Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner has considered the Information Disclosure Statement submitted by the applicant on 04/08/2005 (corrected date).

Claim Status/Remarks

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are pending on this application. The examiner has acknowledged the error with inventor Bib data sheet and recognized **Noriaki Okamura** as the sole inventor of this application. Examiner will notify the appropriate administrative personnel to correct the error on Bib Data Sheet along with removing incorrect declaration that was scanned into the system by error.

Response to Amendment

The applicant has cancelled claim 6 and amended claims 1-3 and 8. The examiner has considered the amendment very carefully.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuyoshi et al (JP2002-066141), in view of James et al (US 6,179,713).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Kazuyoshi discloses a gaming machine that is connected in a public network having capability of sending and receiving emails from each individual player, thus each player are identified by their email addresses (para

Application/Control Number:

10/516,709 Art Unit: 3714

0031, lines 1-3, Fig 2, 11 and para 0033, lines 1-5). Kazuyoshi further discloses email sentences get analyzed to obtain instruction information for the game (para 0035, lines 1-5); and the text information get judged or evaluated for the status of the game, thus the state of the game progress can be determined (para 0035, lines 5-9). Kazuyoshi also teaches reply back to the player with the updated information and the status of the game story (para 0040, lines 4-7), and it is understood that players are identified by the sent emails to the game machine and replies are sent to those emails as well. Even though it is well known in the art, when a device updates a status of any data it has to compare the updating data to a stored data, Kazuyoshi teaches the game text analysis and the evaluation that can be interpreted as evaluating story in a game or the progress state of the game for the player. Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device that has a predetermined command stored on the game server, which are compared with player text command to progress the game. However Kazuyoshi fails to teach various types of information such as words being distributed in a public network and being available to the players via a homepage. James teaches a command based multiplayer network game that distributes various types of player information through a public network and available to the players (col 3, lines 65-66 and col 4, lines 1-8), and having the information available via a homepage would have been obvious to ordinary skilled artisan. Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kazuyoshi's web server based information to include James web player information available to the players via homepage to create more excitement in the game.

Application/Control Number:

10/516,709 Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 2, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming machine that has predetermined stored words (Fig 2, 23) where the words get analyzed from the content of the email (para 0036, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 3, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device having capability of sending and receiving emails, where based on the email content comparing with predetermined server based data, a state or story of a game is determined. If a player sent text does not match with the pre-determined content on the server, which would not apply as a command or direction for the game, which would cause an error. However, Kazuyoshi failed to disclose an error message being sent to the player when an error occurs. James teaches a command base network multiplayer game having a validity function of checking the command for an error and send the error message to the player (col 9, lines 1-10) Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to understand that unmatched text would cause and error and player would be notified in order to further progress in the game and incorporate James into Kazuyoshi to have error handling email message.

Regarding claim 4, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device having email functionality and a pre-determined text or object-based game. However Kazuyoshi fails to specifically disclose computing the time in between the email messages or the commands. James teaches a command base network multiplayer game that has predetermined text and the player time is computed to determine the state of the game progress (col 10, lines 35-55). Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Kazuyoshi's software to compute time in between game states.

Regarding claim 5, Kazuyoshi discloses a gaming device having pre-determined image data that can be attached to the development of the game state or story (para 0040, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 9, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device where the device is a mobile phone (para 0026, line 3 and Drawing 1).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/516,709 Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Masud Ahmed whose telephone number is 571 270 1315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm, Alt Fri, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571 272 7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA VA-12/17/07

RONALD LANEAU PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/19/07